

Editorial Short List

Version : 2.0

#	Category	Subcategory	Issue	Priority	Date		Status	Testable	Owner	Comments
					Open	Close				
79	Discovery_Service	Editorial	Harvest operation. On p9 the document states "Within the context of INSPIRE an INSPIRE Discovery Service is at least able to harvest single metadata documents that are provided through some online location." However on p5 the Harvest operation is marked as a conditional operation (conditional with Transaction). This is confusing	Medium	nov/26		Editorial	N/A	BE	
202	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.1.2, Table2 - The organization of the parameters should follow sections of the capabilities schema for better usability of the document.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Organize parameters into the capabilities Sections defined in Capabilities schema : -ServiceIdentification ServiceType, ServiceTypeVersion, Title, Abstract, Keywords, Fees, AccessConstraints -ServiceProvider ProviderName, ProviderSite, ServiceContact -OperationMetadata Operation, Parameter, Constraint, ExtendedCapabilities, Languages -FilterCapabilities Logical Operators, Comparison Operators, Spatial Operators
203	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.1.2 - Create new section " 3.3.1.3 Discovery Service Metadata " for the part dealing with service Metadata which is slightly different from the preceding part.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	3.3.1.3 Discovery Service Metadata [CSW ISO AP] specifies a GetCapabilities operation with several service metadata sections. The service metadata in the capabilities documents should be in conformance with the INSPIRE metadata IR elements.
204	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.1.2, Table 3 - Operation Name is not a Metadata INSPIRE IR element.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Create a separated table for non Metadata INSPIRE IR elements or rename first column in « Metadata Element » and add a new column « INSPIRE IR element » accepting boolean values indicating wether the element comes or not from INSPIRE:
206	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.5 - OGC 05-008c1 is an external normative reference that is cited in chapter 2 as [OGC OWS]	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Use the [OGC OWS] acronym instead of OGC 05-008c1
207	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.5.2 - The GetCapabilities response section is false : the <ows:Constraint> block has nothing to do with the GetRecords Operation bloc.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Use the example of the CSW spec instead : <ows:OperationsMetadata> <ows:Constraint name="FederatedCatalogues"> <ows:Value>http://www.mycatalogue.com</ows:Value> <ows:Value>http://www.yourcatalogue.com</ows:Value> <ows:Value>http://www.theotherguyscatalogue.com</ows:Value> </ows:Constraint> </ows:OperationsMetadata>
208	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.5.2 - The GetRecords response section is empty	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Mention that nothing is to mention
209	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.4.3 - The tag <ows:OperationsMetadata>is not closed in the example	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	FR	Add </ows:OperationsMetadata> at the end of the exemple
210	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.2.1 & 3.3.5.1 - Example '<csw:ElementSetName typeNames="gmd:MD_Metadata">full</ns4:ElementSetName>' - Shouldn't this be csw?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GR	
213	Discovery_Service	Editorial	3.3.1.1 - Request Parameters 'No additional request parameters are required.' - In CSW specification, service parameter (=CSW) is required	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	CZ	
143	View_Service	Data Specification	Section2 : Why are there no references to the INSPIRE Metadata and Data Specifications in the References section? Generally there appears to insufficient connection to many of the data specification components and definitions regarding the data being reviewed.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GB	To be added
165	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.1.8 - Example - Why use an empty AddressType in the example? I think it would be good practice to always state what type of address it is, postal or physical.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Add an AddressType to the example. Not relevant with IR

167	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.2.1 - XML Fragment - Normally the OnlineResource will not contain the parameters of the GetCapabilities request, see also: http://schemas.opengis.net/wms/1.3.0/capabilities_1_3_0.xml so why include the parameters here? “<!-- The URL here for invoking GetCapabilities using HTTP GET is only a prefix to which a query string is appended. -->”	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Use URL only and not the parameters as the OnlineResource Agreed
168	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.2.2 - Does anything need to be said about 8 versus 24 bits PNG since there is no standardized mimetype distinction between the two. Is it up to the service to decide whether or not it will give back 8 or 24 bit PNG when being requested for image/png?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Add a comment about 8 versus 24 bit PNG Make a proposal
169	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.4.4 - The text states: “It is mapped with the <wms:EX_GeographicBoundingBox>” however this should be BoundingBox instead of BoundBox	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change BoundBox to BoundingBox
171	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.4.6 - The text states: “used in the getMap request”. However, since parameter values are case-sensitive in WMS, change this to GetMap.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change getMap to GetMap
174	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.4.8 - XML Fragment - <wms:Style> should also be marked yellow.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Mark the <wms:Style> line yellow.
179	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.3.3.4.10 - XML Fragment - Yellow highlight is not there for Dimension part of XML fragment.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Highlight the Dimension fragment of the XML.
180	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1 - Table 6 - The text states: “if case of” instead of “in case of”	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change to “in case of”
181	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1 - Table 6 - Should be table 7	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change to table 7
182	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1 - Table 6 - There is a space between individual values of the LAYERS parameter (LAYERS=name, name)	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Remove the space
183	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1 - Table 6 - The text states: “Comma-separated list of one rendering style per requested”. I guess the word layer is missing here? Also why is this explained when LaverLimit is 1?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change to “Comma-separated list of one rendering style per layer requested”
184	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1 - Table 6 - The text states: “Bounding box corners (lower left, upper right) in CRS units”. Should it not be added “and in the axis order of the CRS”?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Add short clause about axis order.
186	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1.12 - IMAGE should be INIMAGE (WMS 1.3)	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change IMAGE to INIMAGE
187	View_Service	Editorial	3.2.4.1.1.13 - The text states: “The standard does not request this parameter”. Should request not be require?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change request to require
29	View_Service	IR	the link view service should be removed from the IR View Service, as it’s mandatory in the Discovery IR (Discover Metadata operation)	Medium	nov/26		Editorial	N/A	AT	View / Interpretation of Link * services need to be clarified. View service already says : Publish Metadata operation of Discoverv → needs a example only See #29
131	View_Service	Link Service	3.2.5 Link View Service operation need more clarification.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	PL	See #29
196	View_Service	Link Service	3.2.5 - Why is it discover and not publish? It seems not completely logical. We are not sure about the intentions behind this operation. We just hope that the intention and interpretation of this operation is not based on some very complex business logic (as it seems to be for the link discovery service). We would strongly object to such implementation guidelines. There is a severe lack of use case for this operation. Thus, we should be extremely pragmatic on the link operation for all service types. In the first place we don’t see it as necessary but since its unfortunately in the IR we cannot do much other than making a simple interpretation. However, it should be interpreted in the same way for all service types. Ie., the link operation should not have significantly different semantics for the view and discovery operations.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	
37	View_Service	Namespaces	Capabilities extension for multilingualism. The targetNamespace= http://www.inspire.org should be corrected to an available domain.	Medium	nov/26		Editorial	N/A	AT	Agreed in principle
144	View_Service	Namespaces	Section2 : It is unclear how the URI’s mentioned also relate to other INSPIRE documents and the URN approach?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GB	Make a proposal
132	View_Service	QoS	4.1 performance: Why is this information copied here from the IR? In case more clarification would be the reason, it may explain better what IR means. Does it mean that the performance is measured by sending ADDITIONAL requests to the service working under normal web traffic? Typically such measurements are done by stressing the service, which is disconnected from Internet. For the connected service better approach would be to monitor the service behaviour all the time and check the percentage of requests below 5 seconds.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	PL	To have all information in one place and set up the relevantchapter on test/performances
197	View_Service	QoS	4 - QoS - General remark is that all QoS parameters are not specified in enough detail. Based on what is here, we cannot implement comparable measures. More guidance is required.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	See #132
198	View_Service	QoS	4.1 - Performance - In practice the size of the image is irrelevant and quite useless. What is relevant is how many layers and objects are included in the rendering process.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	See IR and #132

199	View_Service	QoS	4.1 - 'Normal situation represents periods out of peak load. It is set at 90% of the time' - This is not flexible enough. This does not leave any room for system maintenance etc. Either its peak (and then we don't have to comply) or not peak (and then comply).	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	See IR
200	View_Service	QoS	4.2 - Capacity - What does "served" mean? Does it mean that the actual performance is obeyed or is any response ok as long as the service does not time-out?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	See IR
201	View_Service	QoS	4.3 - Availability -What does it mean that a service is available? Is a blank-white image ok? Is the service up if the underlying database returns an error? What if the service can supply data for one geographic area but not another? etc. It is simply not specific enough to implement comparable measures. To illustrate that there is a need for more guidance related to QoS, an example could be that if the underlying service is down a proxy takes over and supplies an empty image to the requester...	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	Performances are measured against valid request. A service may failed for any reasons (500, 505, etc ...) Invalid requests are not part of the meetering process
158	View_Service	Standardisation	3.2.1 - VERSION - It is stated that VERSION is optional, however this is only true for the GetCapabilities operation. Other WMS 1.3 operations (GetMap, GetFeatureInfo) require VERSION.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change the text so that it is made clear that VERSION is only optional for GetCapabilities, but required for other WMS interfaces.
159	View_Service	Standardisation	3.2.1 - FORMAT - It is stated that FORMAT is optional, however this is only true for the GetCapabilities operation. For GetMap FORMAT is required.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change the text so that it is made clear that FORMAT is only optional for GetCapabilities.
178	View_Service	Standardisation	3.2.3.3.4.10 - Why is Dimension mandatory (the text states: "The mandatory <wms:Dimension> element")? In WMS 1.3 it is also optional. It does not make sense for datasets without dimensions. Later on this is explained better, it is only mandatory if there is an extra dimension.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	NL	Change the text so that it is only mandatory if there is another dimension.
189	View_Service	Standardisation	3.1 - 'The base specification of an INSPIRE View Service may be the ISO 19128:2005(E) international standard.' - Why is it maybe? This needs to be elaborated or better delete "may be"	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DK	Several technologies can be used to address INSPIRE View Service. There should be a section for WMS 1.1.1, WMS-C, TMS, WMST and later the new upcoming standards! Make proposals
125	View_Service	Technical Guideline	Provide Examples: All documents shall show some examples. The examples shall be harmonized over all TG documents (coherent linked metadata-, download-service-, view-service- examples). This can be done on the either on the Wiki-page (referenced from the TG) or in the TG documents itself.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	DE	
141	View_Service	Technical Guideline	Section 1 : The opening section on purpose is unclear – the document requires more context for the reader who is not an INSPIRE expert.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GB	Make proposals
142	View_Service	Technical Guideline	Section 1 : The scope is unclear – is this for a data provider, a member state to follow, the Commission and how do the View services operated by any of these interact?	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GB	Make proposals
146	View_Service	Technical Guideline	Section2 : References that are not directly relevant can be a distraction.	Medium	dec/16		Editorial	N/A	GB	Make proposals